UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN NORTHERN DIVISION

MARVIN ECHOLS,

Plaintiff,		Case No. 15-cv-11781
v. WINGATE MANAGEMENT, INC., et al.,		Honorable Thomas L. Ludington Magistrate Judge Patricia T. Morris
Defendants.		
	/	

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION AND DISMISSING PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT AS TO DEFENDANTS MICHIGAN STATE HOUSING DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY, 74TH DISTRICT COURT, AND JUDGE TIMOTHY KELLY

On May 19, 2015, Plaintiff Marvin Echols filed a pro se Complaint alleging that Defendants¹ "willfully violate[d] [his] statutory and other federal civil rights." Pl.'s Compl. 1, ECF No. 1. Echols cites a number of federal statutes and cases and makes numerous references to discrimination on the basis of his race.

On June 16, 2015, Magistrate Judge Patricia T. Morris issued a report recommending that Plaintiff's Complaint be dismissed *sua sponte* as to Defendants Kelly, 74th District Court in Bay City, and the Michigan State Housing Development Authority "because Plaintiff has failed to state a claim upon which relief can be granted with respect to those defendants." Rep. & Rec. 1, ECF No. 6. Judge Morris first noted that the only statutes under which Echols could plausibly be bringing his claims, despite widely citing to a number of federal enactments, are 42 U.S.C.

Echols names a number of parties as Defendants in this matter. The list includes: Wingate Management, Inc., d/b/a Bradley House; Bradley House; Darla Penbroke; Tiffany Wright; Michigan State Housing Development Authority; Judge Timothy Kelly; and the 74th District Court in Bay City. It is possible that Echols intended to bring suit against additional parties since he includes "et al." in his caption in numerous locations. The individuals listed are the only individuals identified, however.

1:15-cv-11781-TLL-PTM Doc # 7 Filed 09/01/15 Pg 2 of 3 Pg ID 34

§ 1982 and the Fair Housing Act, 42 U.S.C. § 3601ff. Rep. & Rec. 3, ECF No. 6. Judge Morris

further determined that the Michigan State Housing Development Authority, the 74th District

Court in Bay City, and District Judge Kelly all enjoy immunity which Echols is unable to

overcome on the basis of the facts alleged in his complaint. Id. at 3. For that reason, Judge

Morris recommended dismissing Echols' complaint as to those three defendants. She also found

that Echols' complaint passes initial in forma pauperis screening as to all other Defendants

because he makes at least facially acceptable claims of intentional race discrimination.

Although the Magistrate Judge's report explicitly stated that the parties to this action may

object to and seek review of the recommendations within fourteen days of service of the Report,

neither Plaintiff nor Defendants filed any objections. The election not to file objections to the

Magistrate Judge's report releases the Court from its duty to independently review the record.

Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). The failure to file objections to the report and

recommendation waives any further right to appeal.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the magistrate judge's report and recommendation,

ECF No. 6, is **ADOPTED**.

It is further **ORDERED** that Plaintiff's Complaint, ECF No. 1, is **DISMISSED** with

prejudice as to Defendants Michigan State Housing Development Authority, the 74th District

Court in Bay City, and Judge Timothy Kelly.

Dated: September 1, 2015

s/Thomas L. Ludington THOMAS L. LUDINGTON

United States District Judge

- 2 -

PROOF OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that a copy of the foregoing order was served upon each attorney or party of record herein by electronic means or first class U.S. mail on September 1, 2015.

s/Karri Sandusky
Karri Sandusky, Acting Case Manager